

# Curious case of $^{26}\text{Al}$ accelerator mass spectrometry

K.M. Wilcken<sup>1</sup> and D.H. Rood<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Australian Nuclear Science and Technology Organisation (ANSTO),  
Lucas Heights, New South Wales, Australia*

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Earth Science and Engineering, Imperial College London, London, UK*

Accelerator mass spectrometry measurement of  $^{26}\text{Al}$  suffers from low negative ionisation yield that often becomes the limiting factor. To counter the low  $\text{Al}^-$  yield it has been recognised that  $\text{AlO}^-$  produces negative ions much more efficiently and is a potential avenue to improve the measurement precision. When using  $\text{AlO}^-$  for the measurement there is an additional challenge to separate the interfering isobar  $^{26}\text{Mg}$  and  $^{26}\text{Al}$ , but this can be achieved effectively with gas-filled magnet.

However, this seemingly neat solution of using  $\text{AlO}^-$  instead  $\text{Al}^-$  for the measurement does not necessarily yield as clear cut improvements in precision as one would hope. To illustrate this point, data from conventional measurement method at ANSTO is presented and benchmarked against published data using  $\text{AlO}^-$  method.