

Universal, exclusive role of seniority and shape coexistence at closed shells

John L. Wood¹

¹ *School of Physics, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, USA*

A leading issue in the study of the nuclear many-body problem is establishing concise, unified schemes of organization for excited states.

The structure of closed-shell nuclei has passed through a number of stages of evolution.

The first principle of organization was due to Maria Goeppert Mayer who recognized [1] that an even number of nucleons couple to angular momentum zero. This feature became formalized with the introduction of a short-ranged pairing force with both diagonal and off-diagonal matrix elements, resulting in Cooper pairs [2]. This led to the quasispin scheme [3], which incorporated the seniority quantum number. This has reached its epitome in the manifestation of high- j seniority structures and the description of $B(E2)$ values expressed in closed algebraic form based on the quasispin-tensor structure of the $E2$ operator [4].

Following behind this development of a quasispin (seniority) scheme for organizing high- j dominated structures in singly closed shell nuclei, shape coexistence emerged as an important scheme for further organizing the structure of closed shell nuclei, especially in mid-open shell regions. This has been covered in a number of focused reviews [5]. It identifies the strongly collective structures in closed shell nuclei as resulting from deformation. It has isolated collectivity in the spherical structures as weak and limited to one-phonon strength for $L = 2$ and 3 , and non-collective structures to (multi- j) broken-pair and one-particle-one-hole states.

A leading question that remains: “Is this organizational scheme complete?” Some recent results in closed shell nuclei will be placed into a unified scheme that suggests this question can be answered in the affirmative. In particular, the application of the seniority scheme to closed-shell nuclei dominated by medium- j and low- j orbital filling will be presented.

There appears to be a universal scheme of organization now in hand, in terms of just the above-defined concepts. Leading questions and experimental tests will be identified.

[1] Maria Goeppert Mayer, *Phys. Rev.* **78**, 16, 22 (1950).

[2] A. Bohr, B.R. Mottelson, and D. Pines, *Phys. Rev.* **110**, 936 (1950).

[3] A. Kerman, *Ann. Phys.* **12**, 300 (1960).

[4] R.D. Lawson, *Z. Phys. A* **303**, 51 (1981).

[5] K. Heyde et al., *Phys. Repts.* **102**, 291 (1983); J.L. Wood *et al.*, *ibid.* **215**, 101 (1992); Kris Heyde and John L. Wood, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **83**, 1467 (2011).